

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,035	11/01/2001	Jeffrey W. Carr	CARR-01000US1 5043  EXAMINER	
23910	7590 12/07/2004			
FLIESLER MEYER, LLP FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER			OLSEN, ALLAN W	
SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANC	ISCO, CA 94111		1763	
			DATE MAIL ED 12/07/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/002,035	CARR, JEFFREY W.	14
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Allan Olsen	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a	d .
_	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER R 1.136(a) and the appropriate exteunt of the fee. The appropriate exteurionally set in the final Office action	ension ension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	s Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the content of the	•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
<ul><li>(c)   they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying	the
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceli</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendme	ent
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration.	dered but does NOT place th	ié
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belov	will be entered and an wor appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-15,18-40,43-45,47-49,51-53,55</u>	5-57,67 and 69.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 41,42,46,50			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr		e Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	•		
10. Other:	`,'		

Allan Olsen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1763 Art Unit: 1763

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 28, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to Meleka, applicant argues: Meleka is not deterministic; Meleka makes no attempt to spatially control the material removal process; Meleka requires differential heating whereas RAPP does not; Meleka works only on conductive material whereas RAPP may also be applied to insulators. In response to applicant's argument that the Meleka fails to show these features of applicant's invention, it is noted that these features upon which applicant relies are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Additionally, applicant argues that the deburring process of Meleka cannot be construed as being the equivalent of applicant's claimed shaping. However, the examiner is charged with applying the broadest reasonable interpretation to claim terms. The term "shaping" has not been defined in such a manner that the claimed shaping avoids the deburring of Meleka.

With respect to Bőhm, applicant argues that the plasma plume generated from Bőhm's apparatus is different than that generated from the RAPP apparatus. It is noted that apparatus considerations that do not affect the process in a manipulative sense, are accorded little weight in process claims.<sup>1</sup>

Ala Ola

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In re Tarczy-Hornoch 158 USPQ 141, 150 (CCPA 1968); In re Edwards 128 USPQ 387 (CCPA 1961); Stalego v. Heymes 120 USPQ 473, 478 (CCPA 1959); Ex parte Hart 117 USPQ 193 (PO BdPatApp 1957); In re Freeman 44 USPQ 116 (CCPA 1940); In re Sweeney 72 USPQ 501 CCPA 1947).